



**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT /
TRIBAL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT
WILTON RANCHERIA
FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT**

VOLUME I - EIS

DECEMBER 2015

LEAD AGENCY:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Region Office
2800 Cottage Way # W2820
Sacramento, CA 95825



**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT /
TRIBAL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT**

**WILTON RANCHERIA
FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT**

VOLUME I - EIS

DECEMBER 2015

LEAD AGENCY:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Region Office
2800 Cottage Way # W2820
Sacramento, CA 95825



PREPARED BY:

Analytical Environmental Services
1801 7th Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 447-3479
www.analyticalcorp.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/TRIBAL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

VOLUME I

ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	Introduction.....	ES-1
ES.2	Purpose and Need	ES-2
ES.3	Alternatives.....	ES-3
ES.4	Areas of Controversy	ES-5
ES.5	Summary Matrix	ES-5

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Alternative Site Locations.....	1-2
1.2.1	Twin Cities Site	1-2
1.2.2	Historic Rancheria Site	1-6
1.2.3	Elk Grove Mall Site	1-6
1.3	Purpose and Need	1-6
1.4	Overview of the Environmental Review Process	1-10
1.5	Scoping	1-12
1.6	Agreements with State and Local Governments.....	1-12
1.7	Tribal-State Gaming Compact	1-13
1.8	Regulatory Requirements, Permits and Approvals	1-13

2.0 ALTERNATIVES

2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	2-1
2.2.1	Project Location and Access.....	2-1
2.2.2	Fee-To-Trust Transfer.....	2-2
2.2.3	Management Contract.....	2-2
2.2.4	Tribal-State Gaming Compact	2-3
2.2.5	Alternative A Project Components	2-3
2.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	2-12
2.3.1	Alternative B Project Components	2-12
2.4	Alternative C – Retail on the Twin Cities Site.....	2-16
2.4.1	Alternative C Project Components	2-16
2.5	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	2-19
2.5.1	Project Location and Access.....	2-19
2.5.2	Project Components	2-19
2.6	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	2-22

2.6.1	Project Components	2-22
2.7	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	2-26
2.7.1	Project Location	2-26
2.7.2	Project Components	2-28
2.8	Alternative G – No Action	2-29
2.9	Alternatives Eliminated From Consideration	2-30
2.9.1	Seven Mile Site	2-30
2.9.2	Diocese Site	2-31
2.9.3	Mingo Site.....	2-31
2.9.4	Dry Creek Site	2-31
2.9.5	Non-Gaming on the Historic Rancheria Site	2-31
2.9.6	Reduced Intensity and Retail on the Mall Site.....	2-31
2.10	Comparison of Alternatives	2-32
2.10.1	Summary of Alternatives	2-32
2.10.2	Comparison of Environmental and Economic Consequences	2-33

3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.2	Geology and Soils	3.2-1
3.2.1	Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C	3.2-1
3.2.2	Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E.....	3.2-10
3.2.3	Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F	3.2-13
3.3	Water Resources	3.3-1
3.3.1	Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C	3.3-1
3.3.2	Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E.....	3.3-10
3.3.3	Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F	3.3-14
3.4	Air Quality	3.4-1
3.4.1	Regulatory Context	3.4-1
3.4.2	Existing Air Quality	3.4-9
3.5	Biological Resources	3.5-1
3.5.1	Regulatory Setting	3.5-1
3.5.2	Twin Cities Site Environmental Setting	3.5-3
3.5.3	Historic Rancheria Site Environmental Setting	3.5-19
3.5.4	Elk Grove Mall Site Environmental Setting	3.5-33
3.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Site Specific Cultural Studies	3.6-3
3.6.3	Native American Consultation.....	3.6-9
3.6.4	Paleontological Resources	3.6-9
3.7	Socioeconomic Conditions	3.7-1
3.7.1	Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Wilton Rancheria.....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Socioeconomic Characteristics of Sacramento County, California	3.7-1
3.7.3	Environmental Justice	3.7-6
3.8	Transportation/Circulation	3.8-1
3.8.1	Existing Circulation Network	3.8-1
3.8.2	Level of Service Standards	3.8-4
3.8.3	Transit Services.....	3.8-9

3.8.4	Bike and Pedestrian Facilities.....	3.8-12
3.8.5	Pavement Condition Index.....	3.8-13
3.9	Land Use	3.9-1
3.9.1	Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C	3.9-1
3.9.2	Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E.....	3.2-12
3.9.3	Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F	3.2-13
3.10	Public Services.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Water Supply	3.10-1
3.10.2	Wastewater Services	3.10-4
3.10.3	Solid Waste Services	3.10-6
3.10.4	Law Enforcement Services	3.10-7
3.10.5	Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services	3.10-9
3.10.6	Energy	3.10-10
3.10.7	Schools.....	3.10-11
3.10.8	Libraries and Parks	3.10-12
3.11	Noise	3.11-1
3.11.1	Acoustical Background and Terminology	3.11-1
3.11.2	Regulatory Setting	3.11-4
3.11.3	Existing Noise and Vibration Levels	3.11-6
3.12	Hazardous Materials	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction.....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Database Searches.....	3.12-2
3.12.3	Existing Conditions.....	3.12-3
3.13	Aesthetics.....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Environmental Setting	3.13-1
3.13.2	Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C	3.13-2
3.13.3	Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E.....	3.13-9
3.13.4	Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F	3.13-13

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1	Introduction.....	4.1-1
4.2	Geology and Soils	4.2-1
4.2.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.2-1
4.2.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.2-2
4.2.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.2-3
4.2.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.2-4
4.2.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.2-6
4.2.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.2-7
4.2.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.2-8
4.3	Water Resources	4.3-1
4.3.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.3-1
4.3.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.3-6
4.3.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.3-9
4.3.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.3-11
4.3.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.3-15
4.3.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.3-18
4.3.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.3-21

4.4	Air Quality	4.4-1
4.4.1	Methodology.....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.4-5
4.4.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.4-7
4.4.4	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.4-10
4.4.5	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.4-13
4.4.6	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.4-16
4.4.7	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.4-18
4.4.8	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.4-20
4.5	Biological Resources	4.5-1
4.5.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.5-6
4.5.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.5-8
4.5.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.5-9
4.5.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.5-14
4.5.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.5-15
4.5.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.5-16
4.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.6-1
4.6.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.6 -1
4.6.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.6-2
4.6.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.6-2
4.6.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.6-3
4.6.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.6-3
4.6.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.6-4
4.6.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.6-4
4.7	Socioeconomic Conditions	4.7-1
4.7.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.7-3
4.7.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.7-29
4.7.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.7-34
4.7.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.7-42
4.7.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.7-47
4.7.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.7-51
4.7.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.7-56
4.8	Transportation/Circulation	4.8-1
4.8.1	Analysis Methodology	4.8 -1
4.8.2	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.8-7
4.8.3	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.8-16
4.8.4	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.8-22
4.8.5	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.8-28
4.8.6	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.8-35
4.8.7	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.8-41
4.8.8	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.8-47
4.9	Land Use.....	4.9-1
4.9.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.9 -1
4.9.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.9-11
4.9.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.9-12
4.9.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.9-13

4.9.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.9-15
4.9.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.9-16
4.9.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.9-17
4.10	Public Services.....	4.10-1
4.10.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.10 -1
4.10.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.10-7
4.10.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.10-12
4.10.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.10-16
4.10.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.10-20
4.10.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.10-24
4.10.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.10-28
4.11	Noise	4.11-1
4.11.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.11 -1
4.11.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.11-5
4.11.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.11-6
4.11.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.11-8
4.11.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.11-10
4.11.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.11-12
4.11.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.11-15
4.12	Hazardous Materials	4.12-1
4.12.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.12-1
4.12.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.12-3
4.12.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.12-4
4.12.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.12-4
4.12.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.12-5
4.12.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.12-6
4.12.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.12-6
4.13	Aesthetics.....	4.13-1
4.13.1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.13-1
4.13.2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.13-4
4.13.3	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.13-5
4.13.4	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.13-7
4.13.5	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.13-9
4.13.6	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.13-10
4.13.7	Alternative G – No Action.....	4.13-12
4.14	Indirect and Growth-Inducing Effects	4.14-1
4.14.1	Indirect Effects From Off-Site Traffic Mitigation Improvements	4.14-1
4.14.2	Indirect Effects From Utility/Infrastructure Improvements	4.14-14
4.14.3	Growth-Inducing Effects	4.14-17
4.15	Cumulative Effects.....	4.15-1
4.15.1	Introduction.....	4.15-1
4.15.2	Cumulative Setting	4.15-2
4.15.3	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	4.15-4
4.15.4	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	4.15-23
4.15.5	Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site	4.15-28
4.15.6	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site	4.15-33
4.15.7	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site	4.15-40

4.15.8 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	4.15-43
4.15.9 Alternative G – No Action.....	4.15-52
5.0 MITIGATION MEASURES	
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Geology and Soils	5-1
5.3 Water Resources	5-3
5.3.1 Wastewater.....	5-3
5.3.2 Groundwater	5-4
5.4 Air Quality	5-4
5.4.1 Construction.....	5-4
5.4.2 Operation and Climate Change.....	5-6
5.4.3 Cumulative.....	5-8
5.5 Biological Resources	5-9
5.5.1 Federally Listed and Other Sensitive Species.....	5-9
5.5.2 Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.....	5-13
5.5.3 Mitigation for off-Site Road Improvements	5-14
5.6 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	5-14
5.7 Socioeconomics	5-15
5.8 Transportation	5-16
5.8.1 Construction.....	5-17
5.8.2 Operation	5-17
5.8.3 Cumulative.....	5-23
5.9 Land Use	5-26
5.10 Public Services	5-26
5.10.1 Off-Site Water and Wastewater Services	5-26
5.10.2 Solid Waste	5-27
5.10.3 Law Enforcement.....	5-27
5.10.4 Fire Protection and Emergency Services	5-28
5.10.5 Electricity, Natural Gas, and Telecommunications	5-29
5.11 Noise	5-30
5.11.1 Construction.....	5-30
5.11.2 Operation	5-30
5.12 Hazardous Materials	5-31
5.13 Aesthetics.....	5-32
6.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS.....	6-1
6.1 Lead Agency	6-1
6.2 Cooperating Agencies	6-1
6.3 Federal Agencies.....	6-2
6.4 State and Local Agencies and Utilities	6-2
6.5 Environmental Consultants	6-3
7.0 ACRONYMS	7-1
8.0 REFERENCES.....	8-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1	Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, Mitigation Measures, and Significance.....	ES-6
Table 1-1	Potential Permits and Approvals Required.....	1-14
Table 2-1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort.....	2-6
Table 2-2	Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino	2-13
Table 2-3	Alternative C – Retail Development on the Twin Cities Site	2-16
Table 2-4	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site	2-27
Table 3.2-1	Soil Capability Classes	3.2-2
Table 3.2-2	Twin Cities Soil Properties	3.2-6
Table 3.2-3	Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale	3.2-7
Table 3.2-4	Historic Rancheria Site Soil Properties.....	3.2-12
Table 3.2-5	Elk Grove Mall Site Soil Properties.....	3.2-15
Table 3.3-1	Water Quality Standards for California Surface Waters.....	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2	The City of Galt Water Quality Monitoring 2012 Results (Systemwide Average)	3.3-10
Table 3.3-3	City of Elk Grove Water District Groundwater Water Quality Report 2013	3.3-16
Table 3.4-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.4-2
Table 3.4-2	SMAQMD Criteria Air Pollutant Thresholds of Significance.....	3.4-5
Table 3.4-3	Sacramento County 2015 Emissions Estimates	3.4-9
Table 3.4-4	SVAB Federal Attainment Status	3.4-10
Table 3.5-1	Summary of Terrestrial Habitats on the Twin Cities Site	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2	Federal and Regionally Occurring Special-Status Species that Have the Potential to Occur within the Twin Cities Site and/or the Immediate Vicinity.....	3.5-15
Table 3.5-3	Summary of Terrestrial Habitats on the Historic Rancheria Site.....	3.5-20
Table 3.5-4	Federal and Regionally Occurring Special-Status Species that Have the Potential to Occur within the Historic Rancheria Site and/or the Immediate Vicinity	3.5-30
Table 3.7-1	Wilton Rancheria Demographic Characteristics.....	3.7-1
Table 3.7-2	Regional Population.....	3.7-2
Table 3.7-3	Regional Housing	3.7-2
Table 3.7-4	Unemployment Rates.....	3.7-3
Table 3.7-5	Ten Largest Employers in Sacramento County	3.7-4
Table 3.7-6	Annual Average Household Income	3.7-4
Table 3.7-7	Twin Cities Site Property Tax Information – Fiscal Year 2013-2014	3.7-4
Table 3.7-8	Historic Rancheria Site Property Tax Information – Fiscal Year 2013-2014.....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-9	Elk Grove Mall Site Property Tax Information – Fiscal Year 2013-2014.....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-10	2013 Educational Attainment	3.7-5
Table 3.7-11	Minority Population – Project Site Alternatives and Nearby Census Tracts	3.7-9
Table 3.7-12	Household Income – Project Site Alternatives and Nearby Census Tracts	3.7-10
Table 3.8-1	Intersection Level of Service Criteria	3.8-4
Table 3.8-2	Roadway Level of Service Criteria.....	3.8-4
Table 3.8-3	Highway Level of Service Criteria	3.8-5
Table 3.8-4	Intersection PM and Saturday Peak Hour Conditions	3.8-7
Table 3.8-5	Roadway Segment Conditions	3.8-8
Table 3.8-6	Freeway Mainline Conditions.....	3.8-10
Table 3.8-7	Freeway Ramp Conditions.....	3.8-11
Table 3.9-1	Sacramento County Applicable General Plan Strategies and Policies.....	3.9-4

Table 3.9-2	City of Galt Applicable General Plan Strategies and Policies	3.9-6
Table 3.9-3	City of Elk Grove Applicable General Plan Strategies and Policies	3.9-15
Table 3.11-1	Acoustical Terminology	3.11-2
Table 3.11-2	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels.....	3.11-2
Table 3.11-3	Federal Construction Noise Thresholds.....	3.11-4
Table 3.11-4	Federal Noise Abatement Criteria Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level Decibels	3.11-5
Table 3.11-5	Noise Standard for New Uses Affected by Traffic and Railroad Noise – Sacramento County Noise Element	3.11-6
Table 3.11-6	Non-Transportation Noise Standards – Sacramento County Noise Element	3.11-6
Table 3.11-7	Noise Level Performance Standards for Residential Areas Affected by Non-Transportation Noise.....	3.11-6
Table 3.11-8	Summary of 15-Minute Noise Level Measurement at Twin Cities Site	3.11-7
Table 3.11-9	Summary of 15-Minute and 24-Hour Noise Level Measurements at Historic Rancheria Site	3.11-7
Table 3.11-10	Summary of 15-Minute Noise Level Measurement at Mall Site	3.11-10
Table 3.12-1	Results of Hazardous Materials Database Searches	3.12-2
Table 4.4-1	Tribal New Source Review Pollutant Emissions Thresholds	4.4-4
Table 4.4-2	Alternative A Unmitigated Construction Emissions - CEQ Reference Point.....	4.4-5
Table 4.4-3	Alternative A Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-6
Table 4.4-4	Alternative A Unmitigated Operational Emissions - CEQ Reference Point.....	4.4-6
Table 4.4-5	Alternative A Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-8
Table 4.4-6	Alternative B Unmitigated Construction Emissions - CEQ Reference Point	4.4-8
Table 4.4-7	Alternative B Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-9
Table 4.4-8	Alternative B Unmitigated Operational Emissions - CEQ Reference Point.....	4.4-9
Table 4.4-9	Alternative B Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-10
Table 4.4-10	Alternative C Unmitigated Construction Emissions - CEQ Reference Point	4.4-11
Table 4.4-11	Alternative C Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-11
Table 4.4-12	Alternative C Unmitigated Operational Emissions - CEQ Reference Point.....	4.4-12
Table 4.4-13	Alternative C Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-13
Table 4.4-14	Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions - CEQ Reference Point.....	4.4-13
Table 4.4-15	Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-14
Table 4.4-16	Alternative D Unmitigated Operational Emissions - CEQ Reference Point.....	4.4-14
Table 4.4-17	Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-15
Table 4.4-18	Alternative E Unmitigated Construction Emissions - CEQ Reference Point	4.4-16
Table 4.4-19	Alternative E Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold.....	4.4-16
Table 4.4-20	Alternative E Unmitigated Operational Emissions - CEQ Reference Point	4.4-17
Table 4.4-21	Alternative E Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-18
Table 4.4-22	Alternative F Unmitigated Construction Emissions - CEQ Reference Point	4.4-18
Table 4.4-23	Alternative F Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold	4.4-19
Table 4.4-24	Alternative F Unmitigated Operational Emissions - CEQ Reference Point	4.4-19
Table 4.4-25	Alternative F Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold.....	4.4-20
Table 4.5-1	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative D	4.5-9
Table 4.7-1	One-Time Construction Economic Impact (Millions) – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties	4.7-4
Table 4.7-2	One-Time Construction Economic Impact (Millions) – Zip Code 95632	4.7-4

Table 4.7-3	Annual Operational Economic Impact (Millions) – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties	4.7-5
Table 4.7-4	Annual Operational Economic Impact (Millions) – Zip Code 95632.....	4.7-5
Table 4.7-5	Estimated Substitution Effects	4.7-7
Table 4.7-6	Projected Substitution Effects Summary – Gaming (Millions)	4.7-7
Table 4.7-7	Annual Uses of Property Tax Funds Per \$100,000 of Assessed Value for Parcels That Comprise Twin Cities Site	4.7-11
Table 4.7-8	Estimated New Tax Revenues (Millions) – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties ..	4.7-11
Table 4.7-9	Estimated New Tax Revenues (Millions) – State, County and Local Taxes Only for Zip Code 95632	4.7-12
Table 4.7-10	Median Price of Existing Detached Homes by County	4.7-15
Table 4.7-11	One-Time Construction Employment and Wage Impacts – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties	4.7-17
Table 4.7-12	One-Time Construction Employment and Wage Impacts for Zip Code 95632	4.7-18
Table 4.7-13	Typical Tribal Casino Employment Opportunities	4.7-18
Table 4.7-14	Annual Operational Employment and Wage Impacts – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties	4.7-18
Table 4.7-15	Annual Operational Employment and Wage Impacts for the City of Galt	4.7-19
Table 4.7-16	Estimated Incremental Costs to Local Police Department – Direct Costs of Service Calls and Arrests for Alternative A	4.7-25
Table 4.7-17	Estimated Incremental Costs to Local Police Department – Cost Per Arrest Method for Alternative A	4.7-26
Table 4.8-1	2018 Intersections without Project LOS	4.8-7
Table 4.8-2	2018 Roadways without Project LOS	4.8-8
Table 4.8-3	2018 Freeway Mainlines without Project LOS	4.8-9
Table 4.8-4	2018 Freeway Ramps without Project LOS.....	4.8-10
Table 4.8-5	Alternative A Peak Hour Trip Generation	4.8-11
Table 4.8-6	Alternative A Intersection Conditions	4.8-12
Table 4.8-7	Alternative A Roadway Conditions	4.8-13
Table 4.8-8	Alternative A Freeway Mainline Conditions	4.8-14
Table 4.8-9	Alternative A Freeway Ramp Conditions	4.8-15
Table 4.8-10	Alternative B Peak Hour Trip Generation	4.8-17
Table 4.8-11	Alternative B Intersection Conditions	4.8-17
Table 4.8-12	Alternative B Roadway Conditions	4.8-19
Table 4.8-13	Alternative B Freeway Mainline Conditions	4.8-20
Table 4.8-14	Alternative B Freeway Ramp Conditions	4.8-21
Table 4.8-15	Alternative C Peak Hour Trip Generation	4.8-22
Table 4.8-16	Alternative C Intersection Conditions	4.8-23
Table 4.8-17	Alternative C Roadway Conditions	4.8-24
Table 4.8-18	Alternative C Freeway Mainline Conditions	4.8-26
Table 4.8-19	Alternative C Freeway Ramp Conditions	4.8-27
Table 4.8-20	Alternative D Peak Hour Trip Generation	4.8-28
Table 4.8-21	Alternative D Intersection Conditions	4.8-29
Table 4.8-22	Alternative D Roadway Conditions	4.8-31
Table 4.8-23	Alternative D Freeway Mainline Conditions	4.8-32
Table 4.8-24	Alternative D Freeway Ramp Conditions.....	4.8-33
Table 4.8-25	Alternative E Peak Hour Trip Generation	4.8-35

Table 4.8-26	Alternative E Intersection Conditions.....	4.8-36
Table 4.8-27	Alternative E Roadway Conditions	4.8-37
Table 4.8-28	Alternative E Freeway Mainline Conditions	4.8-38
Table 4.8-29	Alternative E Freeway Ramp Conditions	4.8-39
Table 4.8-30	Alternative F Peak Hour Trip Generation.....	4.8-41
Table 4.8-31	Alternative F Intersection Conditions	4.8-42
Table 4.8-32	Alternative F Roadway Conditions.....	4.8-43
Table 4.8-33	Alternative F Freeway Mainline Conditions.....	4.8-44
Table 4.8-34	Alternative F Freeway Ramp Conditions	4.8-45
Table 4.9-1	Sacramento County General Plan Consistency – Alternatives A, B, and C	4.9-2
Table 4.9-2	City of Galt General Plan Consistency – Alternatives A, B, and C.....	4.9-4
Table 4.9-3	Sacramento County General Plan Consistency – Alternatives D and E	4.9-14
Table 4.9-4	Elk Grove General Plan Consistency – Alternative F.....	4.9-17
Table 4.10-1	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative A	4.10-4
Table 4.10-2	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative B.....	4.10-9
Table 4.10-3	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative C.....	4.10-14
Table 4.10-4	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative D	4.10-18
Table 4.10-5	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative E.....	4.10-22
Table 4.10-6	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative F	4.10-26
Table 4.11-1	Typical Construction Noise Levels.....	4.11-2
Table 4.15-1	Cumulative Development in the City of Galt	4.15-4
Table 4.15-2	Cumulative Development in the City of Elk Grove and Southern Sacramento County	4.15-5
Table 4.15-3	Alternative A Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – CEQ Reference Point....	4.15-8
Table 4.15-4	Greenhouse Gas CO ₂ Equivalent	4.15-10
Table 4.15-5	Alternative A Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions	4.15-11
Table 4.15-6	Compliance with State Emissions Reduction Strategies.....	4.15-12
Table 4.15-7	Alternative B Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – CEQ Reference Point ...	4.15-24
Table 4.15-8	Alternative B Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions	4.15-25
Table 4.15-9	Alternative C Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – CEQ Reference Point ..	4.15-28
Table 4.15-10	Alternative C Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions	4.15-29
Table 4.15-11	Alternative F Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – CEQ Reference Point ...	4.15-45
Table 4.15-12	Alternative F Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions	4.15-46
Table 5-1	Mitigated Construction Emissions.....	5-5
Table 5-2	Mitigated Operational Emissions.....	5-6
Table 5-3	Cumulative 2035 Mitigated Operational Emissions	5-8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Regional Location.....	1-3
Figure 1-2	Project Alternative Sites	1-4
Figure 1-3	Twin Cities Site	1-5
Figure 1-4	Historic Rancheria Site	1-7
Figure 1-5	Mall Site.....	1-8
Figure 2-1	Alternative A – Proposed Twin Cities Casino Resort Site Plan	2-4
Figure 2-2	Alternative A – Architectural Rendition.....	2-5

Figure 2-3	City of Galt Potential Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment Connections and Infrastructure Upgrades, Alternatives A, B, and C	2-9
Figure 2-4	Alternative B –Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino Site Plan	2-14
Figure 2-5	Alternative C – Retail on the Twin Cities Site Plan	2-17
Figure 2-6	Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site Plan	2-20
Figure 2-7	Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site Plan	2-23
Figure 2-8	Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site Plan	2-26
Figure 3.2-1	NRCS Soil Survey of Twin Cities Site	3.2-3
Figure 3.2-2	Regional Faults	3.2-9
Figure 3.2-3	NRCS Soil Survey of Historic Rancheria	3.2-11
Figure 3.2-4	NRCS Soil Survey of Elk Grove Mall Site	3.2-14
Figure 3.3-1	FEMA Flood Zones – Twin Cities Site	3.3-4
Figure 3.3-2	FEMA Flood Zones – Historic Rancheria	3.3-12
Figure 3.5-1	Habitat Types within the Twin Cities Site	3.5-5
Figure 3.5-2a	Photographs of the Twin Cities Site	3.5-6
Figure 3.5-2b	Photographs of the Twin Cities Site	3.5-7
Figure 3.5-3	Habitat Types within the Historic Rancheria Site	3.5-21
Figure 3.5-4	Photographs of the Historic Rancheria Site	3.5-22
Figure 3.5-5	Habitat Types within the Mall Site	3.5-35
Figure 3.5-6	Photographs of the Mall Site	3.5-36
Figure 3.7-1	Census Tracts	3.7-8
Figure 3.9-1	City of Galt and Sacramento County Land Use Designations for the Twin Cities Site	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-2	Sacramento County Land Use Designations for the Historic Rancheria Site	3.9-14
Figure 3.9-3	Elk Grove Land Use Designations for Elk Grove Mall Site	3.9-18
Figure 3.11-1	Twin Cities Site – Noise Measurement Locations	3.11-8
Figure 3.11-2	Historic Rancheria – Site Noise Measurement Locations	3.11-9
Figure 3.11-3	Mall Site – Noise Measurement Locations	3.11-11
Figure 3.13-1	Twin Cities Site Viewshed Photograph Locations	3.13-7
Figure 3.13-2	Twin Cities Site Viewshed Photographs	3.13-8
Figure 3.13-3	Historic Rancheria Site Viewshed Photograph Locations	3.13-10
Figure 3.13-4a	Historic Rancheria Site Viewshed Photographs	3.13-11
Figure 3.13-4b	Historic Rancheria Site Viewshed Photographs	3.13-12
Figure 3.13-5	Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed Photograph Locations	3.13-15
Figure 3.13-6a	Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed Photographs	3.13-16
Figure 3.13-6b	Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed Photographs	3.13-17
Figure 4.13-1	Twin Cities Site Viewshed with Alternative A	4.13-2
Figure 5-1	Near Term Traffic Mitigation Overview	5-19
Figure 5-2	Twin Cities Vicinity Transportation Improvements	5-20
Figure 5-3	Historic Rancheria Vicinity Transportation Improvements	5-22
Figure 5-4	Mall Site Vicinity Transportation Improvements	5-24

APPENDICES

VOLUME II

Appendix A	Cooperating Agency Correspondence
Appendix B	Memorandum of Understanding Among the County of Sacramento, City of Elk Grove, and the Wilton Rancheria
Appendix C	The Unmet Needs of Wilton Rancheria
Appendix D	Public Notices
Appendix E	Consumes CSD Fire Department Letter of Intent for Fire and Emergency Services
Appendix F	City of Galt Letter of Intent and Memorandum of Understanding
Appendix G	Off-Reservation Environmental Impact Analysis Checklist
Appendix H	Economic Impact Statement
Appendix I	Water & Wastewater Feasibility Study
Appendix J	Grading and Drainage Feasibility Study
Appendix K	Evaluation of Groundwater Supply Feasibility and Potential Impacts to Water Quality and Resources
Appendix L	Biological Assessment
Appendix M	Cultural Resources Studies
Appendix N	Socioeconomic Analysis
Appendix O	Traffic Impact Study
Appendix P	Noise Measurement Data
Appendix Q	Phase I Environmental Site Assessments
Appendix R	Limited Phase II Sampling Plan
Appendix S	Air Quality Modeling Output Files and Calculation Tables
Appendix T	Draft General Conformity Determination
Appendix U	Economic Background and Competitive Effects Study
Appendix V	NRCS Farmland Conversion Impact Rating